IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case 5:21-cr-00033-H FOR PAPER OF THE STATES DISTRICT COURT DALLAS DIVISION

UNITE	TED STATES OF AMERICA	
VS.)	CASE NO.:3:16-CR-288-M (02)
CHRIS	Defendant.	
ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY		
Magista U.S.C. Magista Court a of the	After reviewing all relevant matters of record, including sent of the defendant, and the Report and Recommendation is trate Judge, and no objections thereto having been filed w. C. § 636(b)(1), the undersigned District Judge is of the opistrate Judge concerning the Plea of Guilty is correct, and it accepts the plea of guilty, and CHRISTOPHER HEAT the Indictment, that is, Conspiracy to Commit Bank are ence will be imposed in accordance with the Court's schedule.	on Concerning Plea of Guilty of the United States ithin fourteen days of service in accordance with 28 pinion that the Report and Recommendation of the t is hereby accepted by the Court. Accordingly, the H WRIGHT is hereby adjudged guilty of Count 1 and Mail Fraud, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349.
	The defendant is ordered to remain in custody.	
	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Mag the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).	
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).	
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S. to the United States Marshal no later than	
	of release for determination, by clear and conv	for acquittal or new trial will be granted, or
	The defendant is not ordered detained pursuant to 18 Us a motion alleging that there are exceptional circumstance under § 3143(a)(2). This matter shall be set for hearing the conditions of release for determination of whether circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the conditions of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should be a set of the circumstances under § 3145(es under § 3145(c) why he/she should not be detained before the United States Magistrate Judge who set it has been clearly shown that there are exceptional

SIGNED this 21st day of September, 2017.

Backera M. G. LYNN

it has been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to

CHIEF JUDGE

any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).